UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,287	03/08/2005	Klemens Breitfuss	AT02 0058 US	2726	
65913 NXP , B.V.	7590 12/17/200	9	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING			SYED, NABIL H		
	M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/17/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
N 41 CAL 1	10/527,287	BREITFUSS ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	7		
	/NABIL H. SYED/	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-		
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).					
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is		
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.	<u> </u>				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clain		e the period for see	eking court review		
7. X The reason(s) below:					
A phone call was made on December 9, 2009 to atte	orney Thomas Ham, he indicated	that no response	e has been filed.		
/Brian A Zimmerman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612	/NABIL H SYED/ Examiner Art Unit: 2612				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to		